RESEARCH SCHOOL
Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Application PR.INT Conference

Template for a review

Application number	
Applicant	

Thank you for preparing this **review**. Your review will be presented to the Early Career Researchers (ECR) Board and the complete application. The ECR Board will make a recommendation to the Executive Board on the basis of your review. The Executive Board will finally decide which applications are granted.
The following questions can be answered in English or German. **We kindly ask you to point out strengths as well as weaknesses of the application**.

Use of AI tools in the review process
The Research School has guidelines on the use of AI tools in the application and review process for its funding programmes. These guidelines contain the following information for reviewers and for the decision-making process:
1. The use of AI tools by applicants in the preparation of applications is not an evaluation criterion and is not taken into account in the review process.
2. The use of AI is not permitted for the review process.

(1) How comprehensible was the doctoral project's general description in the application?
[Has the applicant made the relevance of his/her research clear to you? Has the applicant used unclear subject-specific terms and abbreviations unnecessarily frequently for you?]

(2) Do you understand the motivation of the applicant to participate in this specific event?

[Has the applicant's contribution to the conference been made clear? Was the applicant able to clarify what his/her contribution to the research discourse is?]

(3) How do you assess the impact of the conference participation on the quality of the whole PhD project?

[Has the applicant been able to explain why he/she wants to attend this specific conference? Is it clear which researchers the applicant would like to meet at the conference and why he/she would like to discuss his/her research with them? Does it become clear what the applicant wants to take away from the conference?]

(4) What is your assessment of the conference participation's potential impact on the research career and the international network of the applicant?
[Will new contacts be created or existing contacts strengthened? Will the applicant benefit from these contacts in the future? What does participation in the conference mean for the visibility of the applicant and his/her in the research field?]

(5a) Final conclusion & assessment
[Please use the following space to draw a final conclusion. List the most important strengths and weaknesses of the application and please indicate how you rate these points to reach your final conclusion]

RESEARCH SCHOOL
Ruhr-Universität Bochum

(5b) Please conclude with one of the given statements. Make sure that your final recommendation is in line with your overall reasoning.

- The selection of the conference is very well justified and offers great benefit for the applicant's research and career (5) ◯
- The selection of the conference is well justified and offers benefit for the applicant's research and career(4) ◯
- The selection of the conference is comprehensible. Benefit for the applicant's research and career is recognizable (3) ◯
- The selection of the conference is quiet comprehensible. A certain benefit for the applicant's research and career is recognizable (2) ◯
- The selection of the conference is not comprehensible and offers no benefit for the applicant's research or career (1) ◯

Location	**Date**

Informationen about submitting process:

Please send your review to:

sarah.gemicioglu@rs.rub.de

Version: 10/2026